Second edit



SEMANDESS.COM

a coursebook

James R. Hurford, Brendan Heasley, and Michael B. Smith





List of I	xiv xvi	
Part I	Preliminaries	1
1 Se	emantics in Linguistics	3
1.1	Introduction	3
1.2	2 Semantics and Semiotics	5
1.3	3 Three Challenges in Doing Semantics	6
1.4	Meeting the Challenges	7
1.5	Semantics in a Model of Grammar 1.5.1 Introduction 1.5.2 Word meaning and sentence meaning	9 9 10
1.6	Some Important Assumptions 1.6.1 Reference and sense 1.6.2 Utterances, sentences and propositions 1.6.3 Literal and non-literal meaning 1.6.4 Semantics and pragmatics	11 12 12 15 17
1.		19

viii Contents

	Contents	PRESS
Furt	her reading	@RAHNAMAPRESS WWW.RAHNAMAPRESS.COM
		19
Mea	ining, Thought and Reality	23
2.1	Introduction	23
22	Reference	25
2.2		25
	2.2.2 Names	27
	2.2.3 Nouns and noun phrases	29
2.3	Reference as a Theory of Meaning	30
2.4	Mental Representations	32
	2.4.1 Introduction	32
	2.4.2 Concepts	34
		35 37
		37 38
		40
2.5		41
2.3		41
		43
	2.5.3 Thought and reality	44
2.6	Summary	46
Furt	her reading	47
Exe	rcises	47
rt II	Semantic Description	51
Wo	rd Meaning	53
3.1	Introduction	53
3.2	Words and Grammatical Categories	55
3.3	Words and Lexical Items	55
3.4	Problems with Pinning Down Word Meaning	59
3.5	Lexical Relations	63
	3.5.1 Homonymy	63
	3.5.2 Polysemy	64
	3.5.3 Synonymy	65 66
		66 69
		70
		71
		71
	2.1 2.2 2.3 2.4 2.5 2.6 Furt Exer 11 Wor 3.1 3.2 3.3 3.4	Further reading Exercises Meaning, Thought and Reality 2.1 Introduction 2.2 Reference 2.2.1 Types of reference 2.2.2 Names 2.2.3 Nouns and noun phrases 2.3 Reference as a Theory of Meaning 2.4 Mental Representations 2.4.1 Introduction 2.4.2 Concepts 2.4.3 Necessary and sufficient conditions 2.4.4 Prototypes 2.4.5 Relations between concepts 2.4.6 Acquiring concepts 2.5.1 Linguistic relativity 2.5.2 The language of thought hypothesis 2.5.3 Thought and reality 2.6 Summary Further reading Exercises **I I Semantic Description Word Meaning 3.1 Introduction 3.2 Words and Grammatical Categories 3.3 Words and Lexical Items 3.4 Problems with Pinning Down Word Meaning 3.5.1 Homonymy 3.5.2 Polysemy

			1, 1, 6
	3.6	Derivational Relations	@RAHNAMAPRESS
		3.6.1 Causative verbs	14
		3.6.2 Agentive nouns	73
	3.7	Lexical Universals	74
		3.7.1 Colour terms	74
		3.7.2 Core vocabulary	77
		3.7.3 Universal lexemes	78
	3.8	Summary	79
	Furt	ther reading	80
	Exer	rcises	80
4	Sen	87	
	4.1	Introduction	87
	4.2	Logic and Truth	89
	4.3	Necessary Truth, A Priori Truth and Analyticity	95
	4.4	Entailment	99
	4.5	Presupposition	102
		4.5.1 Introduction	102
		4.5.2 Two approaches to presupposition	103
		4.5.3 Presupposition failure	105
		4.5.4 Presupposition triggers	107 108
		4.5.5 Presuppositions and context	110
		4.5.6 Pragmatic theories of presupposition	
	4.6	Summary	111
		ther reading	111
	Exe	rcises	112
5	Ser	tence Semantics 1: Situations	117
	5.1	Introduction	117
	5.2	Classifying Situations	118
		5.2.1 Introduction	118
		5.2.2 Verbs and situation types	120
		5.2.3 A system of situation types	124
		5.2.4 Tense	125
		5.2.5 Aspect	129
		5.2.6 Comparing aspect across languages	133 136
		5.2.7 Combining situation type and aspect	
	5.3	Modality and Evidentiality	138
		5.3.1 Modality	138 141
		5.3.2 Mood	$141 \\ 144$
		5.3.3 Evidentiality	
	5.4	Summary	146

x Contents

		Contents	PRES				
	Furi	ther reading	@RAHNAMAPR				
	Exe	147					
6	Ser	Sentence Semantics 2: Participants					
	6.1	152					
	6.2	Introduction: Classifying Participants Thematic Roles	153				
	6.3	Grammatical Relations and Thematic Roles	158				
	6.4	Verbs and Thematic Role Grids	160				
	6.5	162					
	6.6	165					
	6.7	Voice 6.7.1 Passive voice 6.7.2 Comparing passive constructions across languages 6.7.3 Middle voice	169 169 172 175				
	6.8	Classifiers and Noun Classes 6.8.1 Classifiers 6.8.2 Noun classes	178 178 180				
	6.9	182					
	Fur	182					
	Exe	rcises	183				
7	Cor	ntext and Inference	190				
	7.1	190					
	7.2	Deixis 7.2.1 Spatial deixis 7.2.2 Grammaticalization of context 7.2.3 Extensions of spatial deixis 7.2.4 Person deixis 7.2.5 Social deixis	191 191 195 195 196 197				
	7.3	Reference and Context	198				
	7.4	Knowledge as Context 7.4.1 Discourse as context 7.4.2 Background knowledge as context 7.4.3 Mutual knowledge 7.4.4 Giving background knowledge to computers	199 200 201 202 203				
	7.5	Information Structure 7.5.1 The information status of nominals 7.5.2 Focus and topic 7.5.3 Information structure and comprehension	205 205 208 210				
	7.6	Inference	211				



	7.7	Conversational Implicature 7.7.1 Grice's maxims of conversational cooperation	P R E S S @RAHNAMAPRESS WWW.RAHNAMAPRESS.COM
		7.7.2 Generalizing the Gricean maxims	216
		7.7.3 Relevance Theory	218
	7.8	Summary	220
	Furt	her reading	222
		rcises	222
8	Fun	ctions of Language: Speech as Action	230
	8.1	Introduction	230
	8.2	Austin's Speech Act Theory	233
		8.2.1 Introduction	233
		8.2.2 Evaluating performative utterances	235
		8.2.3 Explicit and implicit performatives	236
		8.2.4 Statements as performatives	237 238
		8.2.5 Three facets of a speech act	
	8.3	Categorizing Speech Acts	239
	8.4	<u>-</u>	241
		8.4.1 Introduction	241
		8.4.2 Understanding indirect speech acts	243
		8.4.3 Indirect acts and politeness	245
	8.5	Sentence Types	248
	8.6	Summary	250
	Fur	ther reading	251
	Exe	rcises	251
Pai	rt III	Theoretical Approaches	257
9	Mea	aning Components	259
	9.1	Introduction	259
	9.2	Lexical Relations in CA	260
	9.2	9.2.1 Binary features	261
		9.2.2 Redundancy rules	261
	9.3		262
	9.3	9.3.1 Introduction	262
		9.3.2 The Katzian dictionary	263
		9.3.3 Projection rules	263
	9.4		266
	J, ₹	9.4.1 The methodology	266
		9.4.2 Thematic roles and linking rules	269
	9.5	Components and Conflation Patterns	274

xii Contents

			PRES			
	9.6	Jackendoff's Conceptual Structure 9.6.1 Introduction	@RAHNAMAPRES			
		9.6.2 The semantic components	279			
		9.6.3 Localist semantic fields	281			
		9.6.4 Complex events and states	282			
		9.6.5 THINGS: Semantic classes of nominals	283			
		9.6.6 Cross-category generalizations	284			
		9.6.7 Processes of semantic combination	285			
	9.7	Pustejovsky's Generative Lexicon	289			
		9.7.1 Event structure	289			
		9.7.2 Qualia structure	293			
	9.8	Problems with Components of Meaning				
	9.9	9.9 Summary				
	Furt	her reading	297			
	Exer	298				
10	Forn	305				
	10.1 Introduction		305			
	10.2	10.2 Model-Theoretical Semantics				
	10.3	Translating English into a Logical Metalanguage	309			
		10.3.1 Introduction	309			
		10.3.2 Simple statements in predicate logic	309			
		10.3.3 Quantifiers in predicate logic	311			
		10.3.4 Some advantages of predicate logic translation	314			
	10.4	The Semantics of the Logical Metalanguage	315			
		10.4.1 Introduction	315			
		10.4.2 The semantic interpretation of predicate logic symbols	316			
		10.4.3 The domain	317			
		10.4.4 The denotation assignment function	317			
	10.5	Checking the Truth-Value of Sentences	318			
		10.5.1 Evaluating a simple statement	319			
		10.5.2 Evaluating a compound sentence with ∧ 'and'	319			
		10.5.3 Evaluating sentences with the quantifiers \forall and \exists	321			
	10.6	Word Meaning: Meaning Postulates	323			
	10.7					
		Logic	325			
		10.7.1 Restricted quantifiers	326			
		10.7.2 Generalized quantifiers	327			
		10.7.3 The strong/weak distinction and existential there	222			
		sentences	329			
		10.7.4 Monotonicity and negative polarity items	331			
		10.7.5 Section summary	333			

		PRES
10.	•	@RAHNAMAPRESS
	10.8.1 Introduction	335
	10.8.2 Modality 10.8.3 Tense and aspect	337
10.		340
10.	10.9.1 Anaphora in and across sentences	340
	10.9.2 Donkey sentences	342
	10.9.3 DRT and discourse anaphora	342
10.	.10 Summary	347
Fu	rther reading	348
Exe	ercises	349
11 Co	gnitive Semantics	355
11.	1 Introduction	355
11.	2 Metaphor	358
	11.2.1 Introduction	358
	11.2.2 Metaphor in cognitive semantics	360 361
	11.2.3 Features of metaphor	364
11.	11.2.4 The influence of metaphor Motorymy	365
	<i>-</i>	366
11.	4 Image Schemas 11.4.1 Containment schema	367
	11.4.1 Containment schema 11.4.2 Path schema	368
	11.4.3 Force schemas	369
11.		370
11.	11.5.1 Prepositions	371
	11.5.2 Modal verbs	375
11.	.6 Mental Spaces	377
	11.6.1 Connections between spaces	378
	11.6.2 Referential opacity	381
	11.6.3 Presupposition	384
	11.6.4 Conceptual blending	386 388
4 4	11.6.5 Section summary	388
11.	.7 Langacker's Cognitive Grammar 11.7.1 Nouns, verbs and clauses	389
	11.7.1 Nouns, veros ana ciauses 11.7.2 Construal	391
11.		393
	rther reading	394
	ercises	394
LX	C1 C10C0	
	ns to Selected Exercises	400
Bibliogr	raphy	418
Index		443



Figures and Tables

Figures

1.1	Components of grammar					
1.2	Reference and sense in the vocabulary					
2.1	Conceptual networks					
5.1	Simple tenses					
5.2	Complex past tense	127				
9.1	Projection rules	264				
9.2	Conceptual structure of example 9.81 as a tree structure	280				
9.3	Conceptual structure of example 9.82 as a tree structure	281				
9.4	Conceptual structure of example 9.84 as a tree structure	281				
9.5	Semantic classes of nominals	284				
10.1	Instants in the flow of time	338				
10.2	Intervals of time	339				
11.1	Containment	367				
11.2	Path schema	369				
11.3	Compulsion	369				
11.4	Blockage	370				
11.5	Removal of restraint	370				
11.6	Prototypical above-across sense of over	373				
11.7	Sam walked over the hill	373				

Figures and Tables

11.8	The above sense of over	@RAHNAMAPRESS WWW.RAHNAMAPRESS.CO
11.9	The covering sense of <i>over</i>	214
11.10	Multiplex version of the covering sense of <i>over</i>	375
11.11	Person-image connector	379
11.12	World-mind connector	380
11.13	Image-person connector	380
11.14	First interpretation of In the film, Michelle is a witch	382
11.15	Second interpretation of In the film, Michelle is a witch	383
11.16	Transparent reading of example 11.37	383
11.17	Opaque reading of example 11.37	384
11.18	Blend If Clinton were the Titanic	387
11.19	Prototypical event schema	390
11.20	Profiling within the action chain	391
11 21	The camera is on the table	417

Table

8.1	Possible	Somali	markers	of	sentence	type	2	24	9
-----	----------	--------	---------	----	----------	------	---	----	---



Preface

This is an introduction to semantics for readers new to the subject. The aim of the book is not to propose a new theory of semantics, nor to promote any single current approach but to give the reader access to some of the central ideas in the field and an introduction to some of its most important writers. Semantics, however, is a very broad and diverse field and keeping the book to a manageable size has involved a fairly firm selection of topics. Inevitably this selection will not please everyone but I hope readers will be able to gain a feel for what doing semantics is like, and gain the background to proceed to more advanced and specialized material in the primary literature.

The book assumes no knowledge of semantics but does assume a general idea of what linguistics is, and some familiarity with its traditional division into fields like phonetics, phonology, morphology, syntax, etc. Thus it would be useful if the reader had already looked at a general introduction to linguistics.

The book is organized into eleven chapters, which are grouped into three main sections. Part I, **Preliminaries**, consists of the first two chapters and is concerned with the place of semantics within linguistics and its relations with the disciplines of philosophy and psychology, which share some of the same interests. Part II, **Semantic Description**, is the main part of the book and introduces central topics in the analysis of word and sentence meaning. Part III, **Theoretical Approaches**, reviews three important semantic theories: componential theory, formal semantics and cognitive semantics.

Preface



Each chapter includes a set of exercises to allow the reader to explore the issues raised, and suggestions for further reading. These will be a small selection of works which provide accessible investigations of the chapter's topics. In the text there are a large number of references to the semantics literature. These will frequently be works which are too specialized to attempt before the reader completes this book, but are given so that any particular interests may be followed up.

Examples from different languages are given in the transcription of the original source, and are commented on only when it is germane to the discussion. A list of symbols and abbreviations used in this text is given in the Abbreviations and Symbols list on pp. xix-xx.

I have used this book as a text in my courses in the Centre for Language and Communication Studies, Trinity College Dublin. I would like to thank my students for their responses and comments which have been invaluable in getting the text into its present form. I am indebted to Philip Jaggar, Mark Keane, James Levine and Feargal Murphy, who read the entire manuscript and made many suggestions, which improved the book and saved me from my worst mistakes. I am also grateful to those who have commented on particular sections, discussed specific language data, and provided me with source materials, in particular Abdullahi Dirir Hersi, Barbara Abbott, Martin Emms, Tim Fernando, Jim Jackson, Jeffrey Kallen, Ruth Kempson, Patricia Maguire, Cathal O Háinle, Sarah Smyth, Tadaharu Tanomura, Ib Ulbaek, Tony Veale, Carl Vogel, and Sheila Watts. None of the above is of course responsible for how the book turned out in the end; that is entirely my responsibility. The first draft of the book was written while I was enjoying the academic hospitality of the Department of African Languages and Cultures of the School of Oriental and African Studies, University of London. I would like to thank the members of that department, in particular Dick Hayward and Philip Jaggar, for making my time there so enjoyable and profitable. That visit was supported by the Trinity College Dublin Arts and Social Sciences Benefactions Fund.

This third edition has been revised and updated, and includes a new section of suggested solutions to selected exercises. I would once again like to thank the readers and users of the book who have sent me their comments and suggestions. I would like to thank Tony Veale again for his advice on cognitive semantics and Carl Vogel for his support as a colleague. While planning this edition I was a visiting fellow at La Trobe University's Research Centre for Linguistic Typology and I would like to thank Bob Dixon and Sasha Aikhenvald and their colleagues for their generosity hospitality and for providing such a stimulating environment. Finally I would like to thank Joan, Alexander and Isabel for their love and support.

The extract from the screenplay of *Interiors* in chapter 7 is used by kind permission, © 1977 United Artists Corporation. All rights reserved.



chapter 1

Semantics in Linguistics

1.1 Introduction

Semantics is the study of meaning communicated through language. This book is an introduction to the theory and practice of semantics in modern linguistics. Although this is not an introduction to any single theory, we begin with a basic assumption: that a person's linguistic abilities are based on knowledge that they have. It is this knowledge that we are seeking to investigate. One of the insights of modern linguistics is that speakers of a language have different types of linguistic knowledge, including how to pronounce words, how to construct sentences, and about the meaning of individual words and sentences. To reflect this, linguistic description has different levels of analysis. So phonology is the study of what sounds a language has and how these sounds combine to form words; syntax is the study of how words can be combined into sentences; and semantics is the study of the meanings of words and sentences.

The division into levels of analysis seems to make sense intuitively: if you are learning a foreign language you might learn a word from a book, know what it means, but not know how to pronounce it. Or you might hear a word, pronounce it perfectly, but not know what it means. Then again, you might know the pronunciation and meaning of, say, a noun, but not know

Preliminaries



how its plural is formed or what its genitive case looks like. In the WHYMAHNAMAPRESS COME knowing a word unites different kinds of knowledge, and this is just as true of your knowledge of how to construct phrases and sentences.

Since linguistic description is an attempt to reflect a speaker's knowledge, the semanticist is committed to describing semantic knowledge. This knowledge allows English speakers to know, for example: that both the following sentences describe the same situation:

- 1.1 In the spine, the thoracic vertebrae are above the lumbar vertebrae.
- 1.2 In the spine, the lumbar vertebrae are below the thoracic vertebrae.

that 1.3 and 1.4 below contradict each other:

- 1.3 Addis Ababa is the capital of Ethiopia.
- 1.4 Addis Ababa is not the capital of Ethiopia.

that 1.5 below has several possible meanings, i.e. is ambiguous:

1.5 She gave her the slip.

that 1.6 below entails 1.7:

- 1.6 Henry murdered his bank manager.
- 1.7 Henry's bank manager is dead.

We will look at these types of semantic knowledge in more detail a little later on; for now we can take **entailment** to mean a relationship between sentences so that if a sentence A entails a sentence B, then if we know A we automatically know B. Or alternatively, it should be impossible at the same time to assert A and deny B. Knowing the effect of inserting the word not, or about the relationships between above and below, and murder and dead, are aspects of an English speaker's semantic knowledge, and thus should be part of a semantic description of English.

As our original definition of semantics suggests, it is a very broad field of inquiry, and we find scholars writing on very different topics and using quite different methods, though sharing the general aim of describing semantic knowledge. As a result semantics is the most diverse field within linguistics. In addition, semanticists have to have at least a nodding acquaintance with other disciplines, like philosophy and psychology, which also investigate the creation and transmission of meaning. Some of the questions raised in these neighbouring disciplines have important effects on the way linguists do semantics. In chapter 2 we discuss some of these questions,

Semantics in Linguistics



but we begin in this chapter by looking at the basic tasks involved in ϵ www.rahnamapress.com ing semantics as a branch of linguistics.

1.2 Semantics and Semiotics

So we see our basic task in semantics as showing how people communicate meanings with pieces of language. Note, though, that this is only part of a larger enterprise of investigating how people understand meaning. Linguistic meaning is a special subset of the more general human ability to use signs, as we can see from the examples below:

- 1.8 Those vultures mean there's a dead animal up ahead.
- His high temperature may mean he has a virus.
- 1.10 The red flag means it's dangerous to swim.
- 1.11 Those stripes on his uniform mean that he is a sergeant.

The verb mean is being put to several uses here, including inferences based on cause and effect, and on knowledge about the arbitrary symbols used in public signs. These uses reflect the all pervasive human habit of identifying and creating signs; of making one thing stand for another. This process of creating and interpreting symbols, sometimes called signification, is far wider than language. Scholars like Ferdinand de Saussure (1974) have stressed that the study of linguistic meaning is a part of this general study of the use of sign systems, which is called semiotics. Semioticians investigate the types of relationship that may hold between a sign and the object it represents, or in de Saussure's terminology between a signifier and its signified. One basic distinction, due to C. S. Peirce, is between icon, index and symbol. An icon is where there is a similarity between a sign and what it represents, as for example between a portrait and its real-life subject, or a diagram of an engine and the real engine. An index is where the sign is closely associated with its signified, often in a causal relationship; thus smoke is an index of fire. Finally, a symbol is where there is only a conventional link between the sign and its signified, as in the use of insignia to denote military ranks, or perhaps the way that mourning is symbolized by the wearing of black clothes in some cultures and white clothes in others. In this classification, words would seem to be examples of verbal symbols.²

In our discussion of semantics we will leave this more comprehensive level of investigation and concentrate on linguistic meaning. The historical development between language and other symbolic systems is an open question: what seems clear is that language represents man's most sophisticated use of signs.

6 Preliminaries



1.3 Three Challenges in Doing Semantics

Analysing a speaker's semantic knowledge is an exciting and challenging task, as we hope to show in this book. We can get some idea of how challenging by adopting a simple but intuitively attractive theory of semantics which we can call the **definitions theory**. This theory would simply state that to give the meaning of linguistic expressions we should establish definitions of the meanings of words. We could then assume that when a speaker combines words to form sentences according to the grammatical rules of her³ language, the word definitions are combined to form phrase and then sentence definitions, giving us the meanings of sentences. Let us investigate putting this approach into practice.

As soon as we begin our task of attaching definitions to words, we will be faced with a number of challenges. Three in particular prove very tricky for our theory. The first is the problem of circularity. How can we state the meaning of a word, except in other words, either in the same or a different language? This is a problem that faces dictionary writers: if you look up a word like ferret in a monolingual English dictionary, you might find a definition like 'Domesticated albino variety of the polecat, Mustela putorius, bred for hunting rabbits, rats, etc.' To understand this, you have to understand the words in the definition. According to our aims for semantics, we have to describe the meanings of these words too, beginning with domesticated. The definition for this might be 'of animals, tame, living with human beings'. Since this definition is also in words, we have to give the meaning, for example, of tame. And so on. If the definitions of word meaning are given in words, the process might never end. The question is: can we ever step outside language in order to describe it, or are we forever involved in circular definitions?

A second problem we will meet is how to make sure that our definitions of a word's meaning are exact. If we ask where the meanings of words exist, the answer must be: in the minds of native speakers of the language. Thus meaning is a kind of knowledge. This raises several questions, for example: is there a difference between this kind of knowledge and other kinds of knowledge that people have? In particular: can we make a distinction between **linguistic knowledge** (about the meaning of words) and **encyclopaedic knowledge** (about the way the world is)? For example, if I believe that a whale is a fish and you believe that it is a mammal, do our words have different meanings when we both use the noun whale? Presumably you still understand me when I say I dreamt that I was swallowed by a whale.

There is another aspect to this problem: what should we do if we find that speakers of a language differ in their understanding of what a word means? Whose knowledge should we pick as our 'meaning'? We might avoid the decision by picking just one speaker and limiting our semantic description to an **idiolect**, the technical term for an individual's language. Another strategy to resolve differences might be to identify experts and use their

Semantics in Linguistics



knowledge, but as we shall see, moving away from ordinary speaker www.rahnamapress.com a scientific definition for words has the danger of making semantics equivalent to all of science. It also ignores the fact that most of us seem to understand each other talking about, say, animals without any training in zoology. This is a point we will come back to in chapter 2.

A third type of challenge facing us comes from looking at what particular utterances mean in context. For example: if someone says to you Marvellous weather you have here in Ireland, you might interpret it differently on a cloudless sunny day than when the rain is pouring down. Similarly He's dying might mean one thing when said of a terminally ill patient, and another as a comment watching a stand-up comedian failing to get laughs. Or again: It's getting late if said to a friend at a party might be used to mean Let's leave. The problem here is that if features of context are part of an utterance's meaning then how can we include them in our definitions? For a start, the number of possible situations, and therefore of interpretations, is enormous if not infinite. It doesn't seem likely that we could fit all the relevant information into our definitions.

These three issues – circularity; the question of whether linguistic knowledge is different from general knowledge; and the problem of the contribution of context to meaning – show that our definitions theory is too simple to do the job we want. Semantic analysis must be more complicated than attaching definitions to linguistic expressions. As we shall see in the rest of this book, semanticists have proposed a number of strategies for improving on this initial position. In the next section we discuss some initial ideas that will enable us to follow these strategies.

1.4 Meeting the Challenges

In most current linguistic theories, semantic analysis is as important a part of the linguist's job as, say, phonological analysis. Theories differ on details of the relationship between semantics and other levels of analysis like syntax and morphology, but all seem to agree that linguistic analysis is incomplete without semantics. We need, it seems, to establish a semantic component in our theories. We have to ask: how can we meet the three challenges outlined in the last section? Clearly we have to replace a simple theory of definitions with a theory that successfully solves these problems.

One of the aims of this book is to show how various theories have sought to provide solutions to these problems and we will return to them in detail over subsequent chapters. For now we will simply mention possible strategies which we will see fleshed out later. To cope with the problem of circularity, one solution is to design a semantic **metalanguage** with which to describe the semantic units and rules of all languages. We use metalanguage here with its usual meaning in linguistics: the tool of description. So in a grammar of Arabic written in French, Arabic is the *object language* and

RAHNAMA PRESS @RAHNAMAPRESS WWW.RAHNAMAPRESS.COM

This practical coursebook introduces all the basics of semantics in a simple, step-by fashion. Each unit includes short sections of explanation with examples, followed to stimulating practice exercises for students to complete. Feedback and comment sections follow each exercise to enable students to monitor their progress.

No previous background in semantics is assumed, as students begin by discovering the value and fascination of the subject and then move through all key topics in the field, including sense and reference, simple logic, word meaning, and interpersonal meaning.

New study guides and exercises have been added to the end of each unit (with an online answer key) to help reinforce the learning of key concepts. A completely new unit on non-literal language and metaphor, plus updates throughout the text significantly expand the scope of the original edition to bring it up-to-date with modern teaching of semantics for introductory courses in linguistics as well as intermediate students.

- Unique approach to introducing the topic with short explanatory sections followed by stimulating practice exercises to be completed on the page
- Feedback and comment sections in the text allow students to monitor understanding of material while new end-of-unit exercises allow instructors to test and reinforce learning
- Thoroughly revised, expanded, and updated to fit with modern teaching of the subject

'The best and most accessible textbook in its field.'
Keith Green, Sheffield Hallam University

'There is no other book on the market which succeeds so well in integrating theory and practice.'

Alexander Bergs, University of Düsseldorf

'An excellent introductory first step. It covers all the main topics which any course on meaning in language will cover, and presents difficult concepts in an easy, accessible way.'

Billy Clark, Middlesex University



An answer key for the new study guide and exercises at the end of each unit is available to instructors only. See www.cambridge.org/hurford.

